

ENROLLED ORIGINAL

A RESOLUTION

15-606

IN THE COUNCIL OF THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

July 13, 2004

To confirm the Mayoral appointment of Ms. Dianne M. Hampton to the Domestic Violence Fatality Review Board.

RESOLVED, BY THE COUNCIL OF THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA, That this resolution may be cited as the "Domestic Violence Fatality Review Board Dianne M. Hampton Confirmation Resolution of 2004".

Sec. 2. The Council of the District of Columbia confirms the appointment of:

Ms. Dianne M. Hampton  
3358 Blaine St., N.E.  
Washington, D.C. 20019  
(Ward 7)

as a community representative member of the Domestic Violence Fatality Review Board, established by D.C. Official Code § 16-1052, for a term to end 3 years from the date a majority of the first members are sworn in.

Sec. 3. The Secretary to the Council of the District of Columbia shall transmit a copy of this resolution, upon its adoption, each to the nominee and to the Office of the Mayor.

Sec. 4. This resolution shall take effect immediately.

ENROLLED ORIGINAL

A RESOLUTION

15-607

IN THE COUNCIL OF THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

July 13, 2004

To confirm the Mayoral appointment of Ms. Laurie S. Kohn to the Domestic Violence Fatality Review Board.

RESOLVED, BY THE COUNCIL OF THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA, That this resolution may be cited as the "Domestic Violence Fatality Review Board Laurie S. Kohn Confirmation Resolution of 2004".

Sec. 2. The Council of the District of Columbia confirms the appointment of:

Ms. Laurie S. Kohn  
3216 McKinley Street, N.W.  
Washington, D.C. 20015  
(Ward 4)

as a community representative member of the Domestic Violence Fatality Review Board, established by D.C. Official Code § 16-1052, for a term to end 3 years from the date a majority of the first members are sworn in.

Sec. 3. The Secretary to the Council of the District of Columbia shall transmit a copy of this resolution, upon its adoption, each to the nominee and to the Office of the Mayor.

Sec. 4. This resolution shall take effect immediately.

ENROLLED ORIGINAL

A RESOLUTION

15-608

IN THE COUNCIL OF THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

July 13, 2004

To confirm the Mayoral appointment of Ms. Lydia Carlson Watts to the Domestic Violence Fatality Review Board.

RESOLVED, BY THE COUNCIL OF THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA, That this resolution may be cited as the "Domestic Violence Fatality Review Board Lydia Carlson Watts Confirmation Resolution of 2004".

Sec. 2. The Council of the District of Columbia confirms the appointment of:

Ms. Lydia Carlson Watts  
3119 Sherman Avenue, N.W.  
Washington, D.C. 20010  
(Ward 1)

as a community representative member of the Domestic Violence Fatality Review Board, established by D.C. Official Code § 16-1052, for a term to end 3 years from the date a majority of the first members are sworn in.

Sec. 3. The Secretary to the Council of the District of Columbia shall transmit a copy of this resolution, upon its adoption, each to the nominee and to the Office of the Mayor.

Sec. 4. This resolution shall take effect immediately.

A RESOLUTION

15- 609

IN THE COUNCIL OF THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

July 13, 2004

To confirm the Mayoral appointment of Ms. Sandy I-Ru Grace to the Domestic Violence Fatality Review Board.

RESOLVED, BY THE COUNCIL OF THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA, That this resolution may be cited as the "Domestic Violence Fatality Review Board Sandy I-Ru Grace Confirmation Resolution of 2004".

Sec. 2. The Council of the District of Columbia confirms the appointment of:

Ms. Sandy I-Ru Grace  
1220 Walter Street, S.E.  
Washington, D.C. 20003  
(Ward 6)

as a community representative member of the Domestic Violence Fatality Review Board, established by D.C. Official Code § 16-1052, for a term to end 3 years from the date a majority of the first members are sworn in.

Sec. 3. The Secretary to the Council of the District of Columbia shall transmit a copy of this resolution, upon its adoption, each to the nominee and to the Office of the Mayor.

Sec. 4. This resolution shall take effect immediately.

ENROLLED ORIGINAL

A RESOLUTION

15-610

IN THE COUNCIL OF THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

July 13, 2004

To confirm the Mayoral appointment of Ms. Margaret Anna Hacskaylo to the Domestic Violence Fatality Review Board.

RESOLVED, BY THE COUNCIL OF THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA, That this resolution may be cited as the "Domestic Violence Fatality Review Board Margaret Anna Hacskaylo Confirmation Resolution of 2004".

Sec. 2. The Council of the District of Columbia confirms the appointment of:

Ms. Margaret Anna Hacskaylo  
1325 13th Street, N.W., #47  
Washington, D.C. 20005  
(Ward 2)

as a community representative member of the Domestic Violence Fatality Review Board, established by D.C. Official Code § 16-1052, for a term to end 3 years from the date a majority of the first members are sworn in.

Sec. 3. The Secretary to the Council of the District of Columbia shall transmit a copy of this resolution, upon its adoption, each to the nominee and to the Office of the Mayor.

Sec. 4. This resolution shall take effect immediately.

ENROLLED ORIGINAL

A RESOLUTION

15-611

IN THE COUNCIL OF THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

July 13, 2004

To confirm the Mayoral appointment of Ms. Regina M. James to the Domestic Violence Fatality Review Board.

RESOLVED, BY THE COUNCIL OF THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA, That this resolution may be cited as the "Domestic Violence Fatality Review Board Regina M. James Confirmation Resolution of 2004".

Sec. 2. The Council of the District of Columbia confirms the appointment of:

Ms. Regina M. James  
1363 Adams Street, N.E.  
Washington, D.C. 20018  
(Ward 5)

as a community representative member of the Domestic Violence Fatality Review Board, established by D.C. Official Code § 16-1052, for a term to end 3 years from the date a majority of the first members are sworn in.

Sec. 3. The Secretary to the Council of the District of Columbia shall transmit a copy of this resolution, upon its adoption, each to the nominee and to the Office of the Mayor.

Sec. 4. This resolution shall take effect immediately.

A RESOLUTION

15-612

IN THE COUNCIL OF THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

July 13, 2004

To confirm the Mayoral appointment of Dr. John David Robinson to the Domestic Violence Fatality Review Board.

RESOLVED, BY THE COUNCIL OF THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA, That this resolution may be cited as the "Domestic Violence Fatality Review Board John David Robinson Confirmation Resolution of 2004".

Sec. 2. The Council of the District of Columbia confirms the appointment of:

Dr. John David Robinson  
6735 13th Place, N.W.  
Washington, D.C. 20012-2311  
(Ward 4)

as a community representative member of the Domestic Violence Fatality Review Board, established by D.C. Official Code § 16-1052, for a term to end 3 years from the date a majority of the first members are sworn in.

Sec. 3. The Secretary to the Council of the District of Columbia shall transmit a copy of this resolution, upon its adoption, each to the nominee and to the Office of the Mayor.

Sec. 4. This resolution shall take effect immediately.

A RESOLUTION

15-613

IN THE COUNCIL OF THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

July 13, 2004

To confirm the Mayoral appointment of Ms. Sharlene Joy Kranz to the Domestic Violence Fatality Review Board.

RESOLVED, BY THE COUNCIL OF THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA, That this resolution may be cited as the "Domestic Violence Fatality Review Board Sharlene Joy Kranz Confirmation Resolution of 2004".

Sec. 2. The Council of the District of Columbia confirms the appointment of:

Ms. Sharlene Joy Kranz  
4336 Albemarle Street, N.W.  
Washington, D.C. 20016  
(Ward 3)

as a community representative member of the Domestic Violence Fatality Review Board, established by D.C. Official Code § 16-1052, for a term to end 3 years from the date a majority of the first members are sworn in.

Sec. 3. The Secretary to the Council of the District of Columbia shall transmit a copy of this resolution, upon its adoption, each to the nominee and to the Office of the Mayor.

Sec. 4. This resolution shall take effect immediately.

A RESOLUTION

15-614

IN THE COUNCIL OF THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

July 13, 2004

To declare the sense of the Council with respect to the proposed vision and policy framework for the District of Columbia Comprehensive Plan and the creation of a Comprehensive Plan Update Task Force to work with the Office of Planning to review and discuss draft Comprehensive Plan concepts, recommendations, action items, and strategies as they are being developed.

RESOLVED, BY THE COUNCIL OF THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA, That this resolution may be cited as the "Vision and Policy Framework for the Comprehensive Plan Update Sense of the Council Resolution of 2004".

Sec. 2. The Council finds that:

(1) In 2002, the Council adopted the Comprehensive Plan Process Sense of the Council Resolution of 2002, effective May 7, 2002 (Res. 14-431; 49 DCR 4484), supporting the need to review the process for amending the District of Columbia Elements of the Comprehensive Plan, to review the format and content of the Comprehensive Plan, to explore ways to make the Comprehensive Plan more useful in providing an overall guide to future development of the District, to make the Comprehensive Plan more known and useful to the citizens of the District, and to support the creation of a Comprehensive Plan Process Task Force ("Assessment Task Force") to work with the Office of Planning to provide recommendations to the Mayor and Council about improving the Comprehensive Plan.

(2) In February 2003, the Office of Planning submitted a Comprehensive Plan Assessment Report to the Council. This report contained recommendations to improve the format, general content, and usefulness of the District Elements, based largely on input provided by the Assessment Task Force. This task force recommended that a clear vision for the city be established as the first step in the Comprehensive Planning process. The task force also recommended that a major update of the Comprehensive Plan be conducted rather than an incremental amendment.

(3) In April 2003, the Office of Planning and its consultants initiated work on the Comprehensive Plan vision. This vision was shared with the public at the Mayor's Citizen Summit convened by the Mayor in November 2003, and at eight Neighborhood Summits

## ENROLLED ORIGINAL

convened by the Mayor in February 2004. The central theme of the Vision was that the District should grow more inclusively. Residents expressed support for the Vision and ranked more inclusive growth as the District's highest priority.

(4) This process resulted in the formulation of a draft document entitled, A Vision for Growing an Inclusive City, which defines key planning issues and challenges, outlines a vision for a more inclusive future, and includes the major policy areas that need to be developed during the update of the Comprehensive Plan. The three major challenges articulated by the vision are: Creating Successful Neighborhoods, Increasing Access to Education and Employment for All, and Connecting the Whole City.

(5) On June 2, 2004, the Mayor submitted PR 15-899, the Vision and Policy Framework for the Comprehensive Plan Sense of the Council Resolution of 2004, to the Council for review and comment, accompanied by the draft Vision document. The Mayor seeks the Council's concurrence that the document should be used as a guide to the forthcoming revision of the Comprehensive Plan.

(6) Pursuant to the Comprehensive Plan Assessment Report, the Office of Planning has initiated a major update of the Comprehensive Plan. This update includes a public outreach and participation program to ensure that the Comprehensive Plan reflects community goals and priorities. One component of this program will be the establishment of a new Comprehensive Plan Update Task Force, to be comprised of a diverse group of District residents, businesses, and stakeholders. The task force, which will include appointees recommended by the Council and the Mayor, will assist the Office of Planning in developing the policies, strategies, and actions to achieve the Vision for Growing an Inclusive City. The Mayor seeks the Council's concurrence that a citizen task force should be created to guide the forthcoming revision of the Comprehensive Plan.

Sec. 3. It is the sense of the Council that the draft document entitled, A Vision for Growing An Inclusive City ("Vision"), with the recommended changes to the document set forth in section 4 of this resolution, should be used to guide the forthcoming update of the Comprehensive Plan and provide the foundation for its organization, its policies, and its action programs and strategies. Further, the Council supports the creation of a Comprehensive Plan Update Task Force to work with the Office of Planning to review and discuss draft Comprehensive Plan concepts, recommendations, action items, and strategies as they are being developed.

Sec. 4. As a result of comments received in the public hearing on PR 15-899 held on June 30, 2004, from citizens, organizations, Councilmembers, and representatives of the executive branch, the Council recommends the following changes to the draft Vision document:

(1) On the cover of the document, under the bold heading, A Vision for Growing an Inclusive City, the phrase "A Framework for the District of Columbia Comprehensive Plan

Update" should be added in smaller print.

(2)(A) Following the Table of Contents and before the Introduction, a Foreword should be added in large print to explain clearly what the document is, and what it is not. The Foreword should clearly state that the Vision is not part of the currently adopted Comprehensive Plan, which, along with Council-approved small area plans, and until amended by the Council, continues to be the statutory guidance to zoning and other actions to implement the District's land use policies. Rather, the Vision provides a framework for organizing a proposed updated Comprehensive Plan that focuses on major challenges. It provides a foundation for proposed policies and actions that will be developed during the next 18 months.

(B) The Foreword should acknowledge that new issues beyond those articulated in the Vision will inevitably arise and need to be addressed during the Comprehensive Plan update, and that the goal of growing more inclusively should guide how these issues are resolved. The Foreword also should clarify that maps, renderings, and diagrams in the Vision document are illustrative and are not intended to be official policy maps with statutory power. It should further explain that the Vision document speaks to the types of policies that will need to be developed during the Comprehensive Plan update and does not, in itself, establish new policies.

(C) Finally, the Foreword should explain that additional analytical work will be done and extensive public input will be solicited as the District undertakes the task of developing proposed updated Comprehensive Plan policies that move the District toward its Vision of more inclusive growth.

(3) In the Introduction, page 4 should be edited to acknowledge the 2 decades of comprehensive planning that precedes the current effort to overhaul and update the Comprehensive Plan.

(4) In the Creating Successful Neighborhoods chapter, the following changes should be made:

(A) On page 19, the discussion of neighborhood density should address the importance of aesthetics, height and bulk limits (including antennas), and good design in ensuring that neighborhood character is retained and enhanced in the future.

(B) On page 19, the discussion of having a strong center in every neighborhood should include a reference to the importance of health care facilities in addition to the existing references to libraries, schools, recreation centers, and other public facilities, in order to set the stage for discussion of hospitals, medical homes, and other health care facilities during the Comprehensive Plan update.

(C) On page 23, the discussion of affordable housing should be edited to include addressing the need in a regional context.

(D) On page 25, the Getting There section of the Special Needs housing section should acknowledge that there are different types of special needs housing, such as disability and offender housing, and that siting standards and policies need to reflect those

## ENROLLED ORIGINAL

differences.

(E) On pages 26-27, the discussion of growing the population should clarify that adding residents will not displace existing residents. This section should also clarify that the 100,000 figure for additional residents is a long-range benchmark rather than a statutory target to be achieved by a specific time or through specific projects. The section should reference the fiscal impact work done by the Brookings Institution to gauge the impact of 100,000 new residents on the District and emphasize the importance of attracting middle class families as well as other types of households. The section should also note the importance of analyzing and evaluating the impact of any growth on services, infrastructure, and fiscal well-being during the course of the Comprehensive Plan update and whenever large projects are proposed.

(F) On page 28, the text should acknowledge that not all Metrorail stations are the same, that some stations were designed to serve neighborhoods while others were designed to transform neighborhoods, and that growth incentives should be provided primarily in areas of low market demand rather than in areas of high market demand.

(G) On page 31, the text on improving environmental health should be edited to acknowledge the need to plan for waste management and trash transfer facilities.

(H) On page 33, the text on park improvements should be edited to acknowledge the need to appropriately plan for and design the District's parks to ensure that they are not overdeveloped with facilities.

(I) The chapter should include a reference to the need for policies that promote safe and clean neighborhoods.

(5) In the Increasing Access to Education and Employment chapter, the following changes should be made:

(A) On pages 44-45, the discussion of expanding the economy should acknowledge the importance of protecting small neighborhood businesses that are impacted by rising rents in successful commercial districts.

(B) On pages 44-45, the need for additional retail east of the Anacostia River should be explicitly stated.

(C) On page 47, the discussion of U Street should acknowledge the importance of the Metrorail station to the area's revival.

(D) On page 49, the discussion of expanding our economy should be edited to include limitations on the District's economic base as an issue that will need to be addressed during the Comprehensive Plan update.

(E) A new section should be added to the chapter to address the role that colleges, universities, and other institutions play in contributing to the District's character, culture, economy, and intellectual capital. The section should acknowledge the need to develop balanced Comprehensive Plan policies and zoning requirements that facilitate growth and expansion of university and other institutional uses while minimizing any adverse effects of such development upon adjacent residential neighborhoods.

## ENROLLED ORIGINAL

(6) In the Connecting the Whole City chapter, the following changes should be made:

(A) On page 59, the discussion of improved bus service should acknowledge the importance of sustaining our bus system and using buses more creatively in the future.

(B) On page 64, the section on Connecting Greenways and Waterways should reference the importance of addressing the issue of roads in and through parks during the Comprehensive Plan update.

(C) On page 65, the discussion of the region, which should perhaps be a new section, should acknowledge the importance of retaining federal employment within the District and of discouraging the relocation of federal workers to suburban locations, and the importance of maintaining a regional perspective in addressing affordable housing, transportation, and economic development issues.

(D) A new section should be added to this chapter addressing the relationship between the "local" and "federal" city. The section should provide more emphasis on the need to overcome fundamental barriers to the District's economic well-being identified on page 65, particularly the lack of Congressional voting rights and the structural fiscal imbalance. The section should address the federal presence in the city, the federal role in planning and development, and the importance of coordinated or joint local and federal efforts to connect the city. The section should also reference issues such as security and design, federal closures of streets, parking and circulation issues around monuments and federal buildings, bridging barriers such as expressways and federal installations, and planning for future federal sites.

(7) In the Call to Action chapter, the text should be edited to acknowledge the other long-range planning documents that have been developed during recent years, such as the National Capital Planning Commission's Legacy plan and the District Department of Transportation's Vision Plan, and the need to incorporate appropriate elements of such plans within the updated Comprehensive Plan.

Sec. 5. The Secretary to the Council shall transmit a copy of this resolution, upon its adoption, to the Director of the Office of Planning.

Sec. 6. This resolution shall take effect immediately upon the first date of publication in the District of Columbia Register.